Exhibit 1

Case 3:17-cv-02229-EMC Document 204-1 Filed 11/22/19 Page 2 of 71

1 2 3 4	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) David C. Leimbach (SBN 265409) Michelle S. Lim (SBN 315691) Scott L. Gordon (SBN 319872) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400	
56	Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and the Putative Class	sses and Collective
8	Additional counsel listed on next page	
9 10		ES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DIS	I KICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	HAROLD JONES, GENEA KNIGHT, TIERRE CRUMMIE, SANDRA TURNER, and GEORGE AZEVEDO, JR.,	Jones Case No. 3:17-cv-02229-EMC Crummie Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC
14	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT
15	Plaintiffs,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	VS.	CLASS ACTION
17	CERTIFIEDSAFETY, INC.	Jones Complaint filed: April 21, 2017
18	Defendant.	Crummie Complaint filed: April 24, 2017
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20	FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED (CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Harold Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-2229-EMC Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC

1	Edwin Aiwazian, Bar No. 232943
2	Arby Aiwazian, Bar No. 269827 Jill J. Parker, Bar No. 274230
3	LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 265-1020 Facsimile: (818) 265-1021
4	Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 265-1020
5	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and the Putative Classes and Collective
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Harold Jones ("Jones"), Genea Knight ("Knight"), Tierre Crummie ("Crummie"), Sandra Turner ("Turner"), and George Azevedo, Jr. ("Azevedo") (Jones, Knight, Crummie, Turner, and Azevedo are collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, complain and allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiffs bring this class and collective action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals who have worked for CertifiedSafety, Inc. ("CertifiedSafety") as non-exempt, hourly employees, including Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen. Plaintiffs challenge CertifiedSafety's violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA"), as well as the wage, hour, labor, and other applicable laws of the States of Washington, California, Ohio, and Alaska, as described herein.
- 2. This is a class action against CertifiedSafety to challenge its policies and practices of: (1) failing to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members for all hours worked; (2) failing to pay Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members minimum wage for all hours worked; (3) failing to pay Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members overtime and double time wages; (4) failing to authorize and permit Plaintiffs and the putative Class members to take meal and rest breaks to which they are entitled by law and pay premium compensation for missed breaks; (5) failing to reimburse Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members for necessary business expenditures; (6) failing to provide Plaintiffs and putative Class members accurate itemized wage statements; and (7) failing to timely pay Plaintiffs and putative Class members wages upon the termination of employment.
- 3. Plaintiffs and members of the putative Class and Collective are current and former employees who worked for CertifiedSafety as non-exempt, hourly Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen throughout the United States, including but not limited to California, Washington, Ohio, and Alaska. These employees provide support for oil refinery operations of CertifiedSafety's

clients. Among other tasks, Plaintiffs and putative Class members are responsible for safety supporting operations and protocols, including but not limited to, identifying, mitigating, and reporting potential safety hazards at CertifiedSafety's worksites.

Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members work long hours. Plaintiffs are

4 | reg 6 day 7 are 8 wo 9 Cla 10 are

4

- regularly scheduled to work, and in fact work, twelve hour shifts for seven or more consecutive days. Beyond the scheduled hours for which Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are scheduled to work, Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are also required to work before and after scheduled shifts, without compensation. Additionally, Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are required to attend day-long or multi-day training sessions, and are not compensated for their time spent in these trainings or for their time traveling to the training sites.

 5. CertifiedSafety assigns Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members to work
- 12 13

11

enter into employment agreements with Class and Collective members in their home states, including California, to arrange the assignments and related training. This is true even for

at specific refineries for periods ranging up to several months. CertifiedSafety initiates contact and

1516

14

- assignments outside Class and Collective members' home states.
- 17

6.

requires Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members to attend training in California.

Before Class and Collective members report to an assigned work site, CertifiedSafety

19

18

- Plaintiffs are all required to attend training in the State of California before job assignments, even
- 2021
- when the job assignment was to take place outside the State of California. CertifiedSafety, however, does not compensate Class and Collective members for all of their time spent in pre-
- 22
- 7. Following pre-assignment training, Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective

assignment training, or the time it takes Class and Collective members to travel to training sessions.

24

23

- members travel to work locations at the designated refinery, often far from home and out of state, without adequate reimbursement.
- 2526
- 8. Once Plaintiffs, Class, and Collective members report to and begin their work assignments, Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are not paid minimum wage for

all hours worked, overtime rates or double time rates, as appropriate, for all hours worked above eight per day and forty per week. Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are also routinely denied meal and rest periods. Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members do not receive accurate, itemized wage statements reflecting the hours they actually work and the amount of wages and overtime to which they are entitled and for which they should be compensated. Nor are Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members paid all amounts owed following voluntary or involuntary termination of employment.

- 9. Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members must also pay work expenses out of pocket, without adequate reimbursement. For example, Plaintiffs and the putative Class and Collective are not reimbursed for tools and protective gear necessary to safely complete their jobs. Further, while Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members may receive a per diem to mitigate the cost of lodging and other work related expenses when working at refinery sites far from home, the amount allocated is regularly insufficient to cover all these expenses. Plaintiffs and Class and Collective members are not adequately compensated for travel expenses to and from worksites.
- 10. As a result of these violations, Plaintiffs seek compensation, damages, penalties, and interest to the full extent permitted by the FLSA, as well as the wage, hour, labor, and other applicable laws of the States of Washington, California, Ohio, and Alaska, as described herein.
- 11. Plaintiff Jones seeks full compensation on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated for all unpaid wages, including overtime and double time, all denied meal and rest periods, unreimbursed business expenses, inaccurate wage statement penalties, waiting time penalties, and penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA").
 - 12. Plaintiffs also seeks declaratory, equitable, and injunctive relief, including restitution.
- 13. Finally, Plaintiffs seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA and applicable laws of the States of Washington, California, Ohio, and Alaska, as described herein.

PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members are current and former Safety Attendants and Safety Foreman who work for CertifiedSafety throughout the United States, including but not limited to the States of California and Washington.
- 15. Plaintiff Jones is an individual over the age of eighteen, and at all times mentioned in this Complaint was a resident of the State of California. Plaintiff Jones was employed by Certified Safety as a Safety Attendant from 2011 to the 2017.
- 16. Plaintiff Knight is an individual over the age of eighteen, is a resident of the State of California, and was employed by CertifiedSafety in or around September of 2016 to March of 2017.
- 17. Plaintiff Crummie is an individual over the age of eighteen, is a resident of the State of California, and was employed by CertifiedSafety as a Safety Attendant from approximately January 2009 to October 2016.
- 18. Plaintiff Turner is an individual over the age of eighteen, is a resident of the State of Texas, and was employed by CertifiedSafety as a Safety Attendant from 2017 to 2018.
- 19. Plaintiff Azevedo is an individual over the age of eighteen, is a resident of the State of California, and was employed by CertifiedSafety as a Safety Attendant from approximately August 2008 to the present.
- 20. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and allege that CertifiedSafety is an American company that provides skilled safety personnel to clients operating oil refineries. CertifiedSafety provides services to clients with oil refineries throughout the United States, including California and Washington. CertifiedSafety maintains its headquarters in League City, Texas, and does business throughout California and Washington. Plaintiffs are further informed, believe, and thereon allege that CertifiedSafety employs hourly, non-exempt Safety Attendants and Safety Foreman throughout the United States, including in California and Washington.
- 21. At all relevant times, CertifiedSafety has done business under the laws of the United States, including California and Washington, as well as within this judicial district. CertifiedSafety has employed Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members in California, and within in this

1	judicial district. At all relevant times, CertifiedSafety has been Plaintiffs' "employer" within the
2	meaning of the FLSA, California, and Washington law.
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
4	22. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
5	1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28
6	U.S.C. § 1367 and Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
7	23. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. A substantial
8	part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this judicial district.
9	<u>RELATION BACK</u>
10	24. This Third Amended Class and Collection Action Complaint relates back to Plaintiff
11	Jones' original Complaint filed on April 21, 2017, with regards to all applicable FLSA, California,
12	and Washington law claims herein pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(c).
13	<u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>
14	CertifiedSafety and its Safety Attendant Employees
15	25. CertifiedSafety works with oil refineries to provide skilled personnel who specialize
16	in planning, implementing, and executing safety protocols for refinery operations. CertifiedSafety
17	provides services throughout the United States, including but not limited to California and
18	Washington. These workers are the Plaintiffs, Classes, and Collective at issue in this case
19	(hereinafter referred to as "Safety Attendants").
20	26. Plaintiffs work for CertifiedSafety as Safety Attendants. Plaintiffs' primary duties
21	include, but are not limited to: monitoring and recording air pressure to ensure that oxygen levels
22	are safe for other workers at the refinery site; cleaning and organizing the refinery site; monitoring
23	and recording the amount of employees entering and exiting the work site; and supervising hot
24	work to prevent combustion near refinery sites.
25	
26	Diaintiffa Ionas Vnight Crymmia and Tymar are former arealouses of Cartific desector.
27	¹ Plaintiffs Jones, Knight, Crummie, and Turner are former employees of CertifiedSafety. For ease of reading, allegations are presented in the present tense for all Plaintiffs.
	5

27. Plaintiffs, Class, and Collective members are classified as hourly, non-exempt employees and are paid an hourly rate for their services. Plaintiffs work at various work sites operated by clients of CertifiedSafety throughout the United States, including but not limited to California and Washington.

28. CertifiedSafety dispatches Safety Attendants to various locations throughout the United States, including in California and Washington. For each assignment, CertifiedSafety and its refinery clients determine the hourly rate to be paid and the duration of the project.

Training Required of Safety Attendants

Attendants to undergo mandatory training that consists of two eight-hour days at the beginning of their employment, as well as an additional eight-hour day of continuing training each year. In addition to learning about the responsibilities of the Safety Attendant position, this training provides information on specific CertifiedSafety policies and procedures, such as its meal and rest break policies, cell phone policies, CertifiedSafety's Code of Conduct, as well as CertifiedSafety's sexual harassment and discrimination policies, just to name a few. This training is described as an "orientation" to Safety Attendants' employment with CertifiedSafety, where Safety Attendants fill out "new hire" paper work such as I-9s and W-4s. This training is important to CertifiedSafety's ability to market its services to the oil and drilling industry, because CertifiedSafety represents that its Safety Attendants go through this significant training. As a matter of policy, none of this training time is compensated, nor are Safety Attendants reimbursed for any expenses relating to this mandatory training.

30. CertifiedSafety also requires Safety Attendants to undergo training before each job assignment, typically no more than one day of eight hours. Safety Attendants' ability to accept the assignment is conditioned on their completion of the training. These pre-assignment trainings cover specific topics and issues that the workers will encounter in the particular assignment, and are conducted near the home of the Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members, typically in Benicia, prior to their dispatch to the applicable refinery site. On information and belief, other

12

13

11

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

putative Class and Collective members in California are required to complete pre-assignment training in California prior to their dispatch by CertifiedSafety and its refinery clients. As a matter of policy, none of this training time is compensated, nor are Safety Attendants reimbursed for any expenses relating to this mandatory training.

31. CertifiedSafety also requires Plaintiffs and putative Class and Collective members to complete additional training at the refinery locations during assignments. This time is not compensated.

A Typical Day for Safety Attendants

- 32. Safety Attendants work long hours – typically working twelve hours a day for thirteen consecutive days, followed by one day off, and then another thirteen consecutive days of twelvehour shifts. Safety Attendants typically work this schedule until a given project is complete, which generally lasts between one and three months.
- 33. Safety Attendants generally work one of two twelve-hour shifts in a twenty-four hour period. For example, one group of Safety Attendants may be scheduled to work from, for example, 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and another group of Safety Attendants is scheduled to work from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. But regardless of which shift Safety Attendants work, the job duties and responsibilities are the same, as is the process for reporting to work, beginning the workday, taking meal and rest breaks, and ending the workday.
- 34. Safety Attendants' days begin with a daily commute from their hotel room to a parking lot.² Depending on the facility, the parking lot may be on site or off site. If the parking lot is off site, Safety Attendants will park their car and put on their fire-retardant protective gear. This protective gear uniformly includes fire-retardant coveralls or fire-retardant jacket and pants, steeltoe boots, hard hat, earplugs, safety goggles, and gloves. The donning process typically takes between five and twenty minutes. Once they have donned their protective gear, Safety Attendants must wait for a shuttle that transports them to the facility's security gate. The process of waiting for

² More often than not, Safety Attendants work at remote locations requiring considerable travel and temporary living arrangements.

1 a shuttle and then and being transported to the facility takes between fifteen and thirty minutes. 2 3 4 5

6

When the parking lot is on site, Safety Attendants must park and observe the same donning process; however, instead of taking a shuttle to the security gate, Safety Attendants must traverse a large parking lot on foot, after donning their protective gear, to the security gate. For on-site parking facilities, the pre-security gate process takes between fifteen and thirty minutes.

16

35. Once they have arrived at the facility, donned their protective gear, and traveled to the security gate, Safety Attendants go through a security check. This requires Safety Attendants to wait in line while security inspects bags and ensures Safety Attendants are wearing all required protective equipment. Indeed, according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") requirements, Safety Attendants are not permitted to enter a facility unless they are wearing their protective gear. See 29 CFR 1910.132. This process takes between five and fifteen minutes. After Safety Attendants pass through security, they swipe a badge that confirms their right to access to the facility and electronically documents the time in which they passed through security. Safety Attendants report that to comply with CertifiedSafety's and its refinery clients' scheduling and pre-shift activity requirements, they must have passed through the security gate and badged in at least thirty minutes before their scheduled start times.

36. Once Safety Attendants go through the security check, they either walk or take a shuttle to another location at the facility which typically has a lunch tent or trailer, as well as a supervisor's trailer. This process takes between five and ten minutes. Once at this location, Safety Attendants drop off their lunches, obtain and begin to fill out paperwork relating to their workday, gather equipment, and receive their job assignments for the day. Often, the equipment Safety Attendants need for the day is not at that particular location, and they will have to walk to a different part of the facility to obtain the necessary equipment. This equipment ranges from simple (e.g., hammers and brooms) to sophisticated (e.g., respirators, H2S monitors, gas monitors) and everything in between (e.g., fire extinguishers, radios, and gas masks). While at the lunch/supervisor trailers, Safety Attendants also attend mandatory daily safety meetings that last

27

28

25

approximately five to ten minutes. All totaled, Safety Attendants spend approximately thirty minutes engaged in these activities once they arrive at the lunch/supervisor trailers.

- 37. At some point during the day (but not necessarily when Safety Attendants first report to their supervisors for the day), their supervisors document a start time on Technicians' time sheets either by writing down that start time themselves, or by directing Safety Attendants to write down a specific start time, regardless of what time Safety Attendants in fact began working, and indeed, regardless of what time it actually is when the start time is created. Instead, Safety attendants are instructed to write down their scheduled start time, which does not account of any of the above-described pre-shift activity, but denotes the time at which Safety Attendants were scheduled to start working and expected to be at their post performing their assigned safety duties. Indeed, CertifiedSafety admits that, as a matter of its refinery clients' policies, it is CertifiedSafety's and CertifiedSafety's clients' expectation that Safety Attendants will only be clocked in for scheduled work time. Notably, the time sheets for Plaintiffs and other Safety Attendants typically show Safety Attendants all beginning their day at the exact same time, and almost always on a round number, e.g., 6:30 a.m. or 7:00 a.m.
- 38. At this point, Safety Attendants leave the lunch/supervisor trailer location and walk to their job post for the day. When at a post, Safety Attendants perform essential safety functions requiring constant attention. For example, when on fire watch and monitoring a welding team, Safety Attendants ensure no smoldering fires result from cutting or welding metal. Safety Attendants on hole watch ensure the safety of the person working in a confined space, while monitoring and recording air pressure to ensure oxygen levels are safe. The role of the Safety Attendant, and the constant attention demanded by the position, is an essential part of industrial maintenance safety programs.
- 39. As a result of these demanding responsibilities, Safety Attendants rarely, if ever, are permitted to take meal and rest breaks. This is for several reasons. First, Safety Attendants are always required to carry their radios, and are always on call. It is CertifiedSafety's and

4

5 6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

CertifiedSafety's clients' expectation that Safety Attendants always answer calls from their supervisors at any time. Thus, no meal or rest break is ever duty-free.

- 40. Second, and with respect to meal breaks, food may not be eaten except in designated locations – typically the lunch tent. However, walking from a job post to the lunch tenth takes at least ten to fifteen minutes. This travel time is included within their thirty-minute meal breaks. Because this travel time is included in the thirty-minute meal period, any lunch Safety Attendants take consists of nothing more than a couple minutes to quickly eat some food, sandwiched in between walking to and from the lunch tent for the vast majority of their thirty minute break.
- 41. Third, OSHA requirements, as well as CertifiedSafety's and CertifiedSafety's clients' requirements, insist that much of the work performed at these facilities be monitored by Safety Attendants. Thus, Safety Attendants cannot abandon the crews under their supervision unless another Safety Attendant relieves them (which rarely occurs), regardless of whether it is time to take a meal or rest break. This often results in meal and rest breaks never being taken, and to the extent such breaks are even attempted, they are not timely. Fourth, and relatedly, Safety Attendants are constantly called on their radios whenever they attempt to take a break, because the crew under their supervision needs to resume working.
- 42. Despite the fact that Safety Attendants rarely (if ever) take meal or rest breaks, CertifiedSafety automatically deduct thirty minutes from Safety Attendants' pay as an uncompensated meal period. CertifiedSafety has no policies, procedures, or practices to ensure meal and rest breaks are being taken. Indeed, with the exception of California, CertifiedSafety admitted that CertifiedSafety did nothing to track or even mark on timesheets whether meal periods were taken until the Fall of 2017 – a change that was admittedly triggered by this lawsuit. Instead, at the end of work shifts, CertifiedSafety's supervisors, foreman, and managers instruct Safety Attendants to write that they took a meal break at a specific time – typically at the four and a half hour mark in their shift. Notably, CertifiedSafety's records show that Safety Attendants apparently took meal breaks at the exact same time – often right at the four and a half hour mark in their shift - and that time just so happens to be a round number, e.g., 11:00 p.m. or 11:30 p.m.

43.

44.

6

11

9

12

14

13

1516

17

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

debrief with that individual, Safety Attendants walk back to the lunch/supervisor trailer area. This walk takes anywhere from ten to thirty minutes. Once at the lunch/supervisor trailers, they return their equipment, complete and submit their paperwork for the day, and sign out with their supervisor or foreman. Safety Attendants do not write down the actual end time, but instead are instructed by

At the end of a shift, and once they are relieved by another Safety Attendant and

When shifts are scheduled to end, Safety Attendants may not leave their post until

another Safety Attendant relieves them. This typically does not occur until after their scheduled

end times, and Safety Attendants frequently work fifteen minutes to an hour past their scheduled

end times waiting for relief. Nevertheless, Safety Attendants are expected to clock out when their

shift is scheduled to end, regardless of when they stopped working.

foremen write down this time themselves – a time that usually is the same as their scheduled end times, even though the actual end time is much later. Notably, CertifiedSafety's managers, foremen

CertifiedSafety's supervisors and foremen to write down a specific time, or, said supervisors and

and supervisors even use white out or erasable pens to alter time records when Safety Attendants

do not report times as instructed. This applies to start and end times, as well as uncompensated

meal periods.

45. Once Safety Attendants sign out for the day, they observe the same process as their pre-shift activity. This includes walking or shuttling from the lunch/supervisor trailers to the security gate, going through a security check, walking or shuttling to their car, and doffing their equipment.

46. All totaled, Safety Attendants work between one hour and fifteen minutes to two and a half hours off-the-clock every day – not including thirty minutes daily for uncompensated meal periods that were never provided. Safety Attendants must be parked and begin donning their protective gear between one hour and one hour and fifteen minutes before their scheduled start time to comply with CertifiedSafety's scheduling and pre-shift activity requirements. Likewise, Safety Attendants report that they typically do not finish doffing their protective gear until between forty-five minutes and one hour and fifteen minutes after their scheduled end time.

Harold Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-2229-EMC Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC

Safety Attendants Incur Significant Expenses and Travel Long Distances to Work for Defendant, Without Compensation or Adequate Reimbursement

- 47. CertifiedSafety's clients' job sites are in remote locations requiring significant travel. For each job, Safety Attendants are assigned to a project at a facility for one to three months. After each job, Safety Attendants are laid off. These jobs may be in the same town as the Safety Attendant, or in a different town. When jobs are in-town generally, within a range of 65-75 miles CertifiedSafety does not provide any reimbursement for travel as a matter of policy, even though commuting to these remote locations often takes an hour or more each way.
- 48. For out-of-town projects, CertifiedSafety provides a one-time travel reimbursement and daily per diem. However, CertifiedSafety does not inquire about the travel expenses in fact incurred, but instead tell Safety Attendants how much it will reimburse before the project even begins. This one-time travel reimbursement is set by refineries. Refineries set the one-time travel reimbursement by simply using websites to determine the number of miles between the Safety's Attendant's residence and the jobsite, and providing the standard IRS mileage rate nothing more. However, some refineries artificially cap the amount of mileage they will reimburse, and refuse to provide the correct IRS rate. CertifiedSafety does not do anything to make up the difference as a matter of policy. Moreover, when a Safety Attendant does not complete the full project either by being fired or because the Safety Attendant voluntarily needed to leave CertifiedSafety withholds travel reimbursement in its entirety. Regardless, it is the overwhelming experience of Safety Attendants that the travel reimbursement provided is not sufficient to cover their travel expenses.
- 49. As an example, for a California resident assigned a two-month project in Washington, CertifiedSafety would provide a one-time travel reimbursement ranging from \$200 \$470 depending on what the refinery wants to reimburse. Of course, such a paltry sum is not adequate to cover airfare on one week's notice (which is typically the amount of notice provided), even though it is reasonable for Safety Attendants to incur airline travel costs when traveling such a long distance. Indeed, even when Safety Attendants drive to out-of-state jobs, the reimbursement provided often is not even sufficient to cover the IRS mileage rate, let alone expenses for food or

3

4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

lodging on the road, or rental cars for Safety Attendants who do not have a vehicle capable of making a 1,000-plus mile journey and back.

- 50. Additionally, Safety Attendants are not compensated at an hourly rate or otherwise for the actual time it takes to travel these long distances. In fact, documents provided by CertifiedSafety reveal CertifiedSafety's efforts to have Safety Attendants unlawfully waive their right to claim travel time compensation when dispatching Safety Attendants, confirming that their failure to compensate this time is knowing and willful.
- 51. Likewise, the daily per diem does not come close to reimbursing Safety Attendants for daily living expenses. As a preliminary matter, CertifiedSafety's clients set the daily per diem - typically between \$65 - \$75 a day. On information and belief, CertifiedSafety does not conduct any investigations or audits to determine whether this amount is sufficient to cover necessarilyincurred expenses. In any event, it is plain that \$70 a day is insufficient to cover all necessary living and lodging expenses. Hotel costs alone far exceed this amount. Indeed, while even the ordinary hotel costs would not be covered by this per diem, hotels within driving distance of job sites often raise prices during projects, knowing demand is high with an influx of remote workers. Nightly hotel costs are often nearly double Safety Attendants' daily per diem. Thus, the per diem not only fails to cover hotel costs, but it does not begin to cover other necessary daily living expenses, such as food, toiletries, laundry costs, and the like.
- 52. Additionally, Safety Attendants incur numerous expenses to perform their daily duties that are not reimbursed. For example, Safety Attendants must purchase fire-retardant protective gear, backpacks, radio holsters, gloves, earplugs, clipboards, pens, steel-toe boots, and a watch.
 - 53. In sum, Safety Attendants:
 - a. Are frequently denied compensation for all hours worked, including minimum wage and overtime for work in excess of eight hours per day and forty hours per week, as well as double time for work over twelve hours in one day and over eight hours on the seventh consecutive day of work;

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- b. Are not provided with premium pay for missed meal and rest breaks. When Plaintiffs and putative Class members work more than ten hours per day, a second meal period is regularly not made available to them. Putative Class members, including Plaintiffs, are not provided with premium pay for these missed meal breaks; and
- c. Are denied reimbursement for work-related travel costs to putative Class and Collective members, including Plaintiffs. CertifiedSafety also does not reimburse Plaintiffs, Class, and Collective members for necessarily incurred business expenses.
- 54. CertifiedSafety is aware that Safety Attendants did not receive timely and compliant meal and rest periods to which they were entitled, and that they were denied compensation for all time worked.
- 55. CertifiedSafety also does not provide putative Class members, including Plaintiffs, accurate itemized wage statements as required by California law. The wage statements that they are provided are not accurate because they do not reflect the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative Class members. Further, the wage statements are inaccurate because they do not include minimum wage for all hours worked, premium pay for missed breaks, overtime, and double time for all hours worked.
- 56. CertifiedSafety often does not provide putative Class members with full payment of all wages owed at the end of employment. As these workers are owed for off-the-clock work, unpaid overtime, and premium pay when their employment ends, and these amounts remained unpaid under CertifiedSafety's policies and practices, CertifiedSafety fails to pay all wages due upon termination. As a consequence, CertifiedSafety is subject to waiting time penalties.3

³ CertifiedSafety often promises bonuses for work on holidays as well as overtime and double time for these projects. However, these promises often go unfulfilled, and the employees do not receive all pay owed to them. Moreover, CertifiedSafety regularly does not consider these bonuses when calculating the hourly rate, overtime rate, and double time rate for Plaintiffs and putative Class and

- 57. CertifiedSafety requires Plaintiffs and Class members to work at least seven consecutive days, without a day of rest.
- 58. Plaintiffs work at several drilling sites in California and throughout the United States, including but not limited to in Washington, and their experience with regards to hours worked, off-the-clock work, meal and rest breaks, and unreimbursed business expenses are similar in each instance. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and thereon allege that CertifiedSafety's policies and practices have at all relevant times been similar for Safety Attendants, regardless of the location within the United States, including in California and Washington. CertifiedSafety's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent throughout its work locations in the United States, including in California and Washington. CertifiedSafety knew or should have known that its policies and practices have been unlawful and unfair.
- 59. CertifiedSafety's conduct was willful, carried out in bad faith, and caused significant damages to non-exempt hourly employees in an amount to be determined at trial.

COLLECTIVE ALLEGATIONS UNDER THE FLSA

- 60. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. Plaintiffs bring their FLSA claims as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as to claims for failing to pay Plaintiffs and Collective members for all hours worked, including minimum wage, wages at the agreed rate, and overtime compensation for all hours worked over 40 hours per week, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA. The FLSA Collective that Plaintiffs seek to represent is defined as follows:

All current and former hourly, non-exempt Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who worked for CertifiedSafety in the United States during the time period October 1, 2014 until the resolution of this action.

Attendants bonuses does not address this wage deficiency and only further exacerbates the inadequate wages they earn and are owed under the law because such bonuses are not included in the calculation of their regular rate and fail to account for overtime and premium pay owing to such employees.

- 62. Plaintiffs' claims for violations of the FLSA may be brought and maintained as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA because Plaintiffs' FLSA claims are similar to the claims of the Collective members.
- 63. The Collective members are similarly situated, as they have substantially similar job duties and requirements and were subject to a common policy, practice, or plan that required them to perform work without compensation and required them to perform work at an unlawfully reduced payment rate, in violation of the FLSA.
- 64. Plaintiffs are representative of the Collective members and are acting on behalf of their interests, as well as Plaintiffs' own interests, in bringing this action.
- 65. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of Collective members. Plaintiffs have retained counsel competent and experienced in employment class action and collective action litigation.
- 66. The similarly situated Collective members are known to CertifiedSafety, are readily identifiable, and may be located through CertifiedSafety's records. These similarly situated employees may readily be notified of this action, and allowed to "opt-in" to this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for unpaid wages, liquidated damages (or, alternatively, interest), and attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 67. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 68. Plaintiffs bring this case as a class action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 69. The putative California Class that Plaintiffs Jones, Knight, and Crummie seek to represent regarding pre-assignment training in California is defined as follows:

All current and former Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who completed training in California prior to any assignment by CertifiedSafety to work for any Refinery during the time period April 21, 2013 until the resolution of this action (the "California Training Class").

	70.	The putative California Class that Plaintiffs Jones and Crummie seek to represent
reg	garding cla	aims against Certified Safety for work at oil refineries in California is defined as:
		All current and former Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who worked for CertifiedSafety at any oil refinery in California during the time period April 21, 2013 until the resolution of this action (the "California CertifiedSafety Class").
	71.	The putative Washington Class that Plaintiffs Jones and Knight seek to represent
reg	garding cla	aims against CertifiedSafety for work at oil refineries in Washington is defined as:
		All current and former Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who worked for CertifiedSafety at any oil refinery in Washington during the time period April 21, 2014 until the resolution of this action (the "Washington CertifiedSafety Class").
	72.	The putative Ohio class that Plaintiff Turner seeks to represent regarding claims
against CertifiedSafety for work at oil refineries in Ohio is defined as:		
		All current or former Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who worked for CertifiedSafety at any oil refinery in Ohio during the time period April 23, 2016 until resolution of this action (the "Ohio CertifiedSafety Class").
	73.	The putative Alaska class that Plaintiff Azevedo seeks to represent regarding claims
ag	ainst Certi	ifiedSafety for work at oil refineries in Alaska is defined as:
		All current or former Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen who worked for CertifiedSafety at any oil refinery in Alaska during the time period April 23, 2016 until resolution of this action (the "Alaska CertifiedSafety Class").
	74.	This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under
Rι	ale 23:	
		a. Numerosity: The potential members of the putative Classes as defined are so
		numerous that joinder of all the members of the putative Classes is impracticable.
		b. Commonality: There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs and the
		putative Classes that predominate over any questions affecting only individual
		members of the putative Classes. These common questions of law and fact
		include, but are not limited to:
]	FOLI	17 IRTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

- i. Whether Defendant fails to compensate members of the putative Classes for all hours worked, including at minimum wage and as overtime compensation, in violation of the California Labor Code and Wage Orders, as well as Washington's Minimum Wage Act, Revised Code of Washington 49.46, *et seq.* ("WMWA"); the Ohio Constitution, Article II, Section 34a and ORC §§ 4111.02-03; and Alaska. Stat. Ann. §§ 23.10.060 and 23.10.065(a);
- ii. Whether Defendant fails to compensate members of the putative California Class for all hours worked, including at minimum wage and as overtime compensation, in violation of Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
- iii. Whether Defendant has a policy and/or practice of requiring members of the putative Classes to be in the control of and/or spend time primarily for the benefit of Defendant, and perform off-the-clock without compensation;
- iv. Whether Defendant fails to properly pay overtime compensation, at either one and one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, to members of the putative Classes in violation of the California Labor Code and Wage Orders, as well as the WMWA, ORC 4111.03, and Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.060;
- v. Whether Defendant fails to properly pay overtime compensation, at either one and one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, to putative California Class members in violation of Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
- vi. Whether Defendant fails to authorize and permit, make available, and/or provide members of the putative Classes with timely meal and rest periods

1		to which they were entitled in violation of the California Labor Code and
2		Wage Orders, as well as the WMWA;
3	vii.	Whether Defendant fails to authorize and permit, make available, and/or
4		provide putative California Class members with timely meal and res
5		periods to which they were entitled in violation of Business and
6		Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
7	viii.	Whether Defendant fails to reimburse members of the putative Classes for
8		reasonable and necessary business expenses in violation of the California
9		Labor Code and Wage Orders, as well as the WMWA;
10	ix.	Whether Defendant fails to reimburse California Class members for
11		reasonable and necessary business expenses in violation of Business and
12		Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
13	x.	Whether Defendant fails to provide members of the putative Classes with
14		timely, accurate itemized wage statements in violation of the California
15		Labor Code and Wage Orders, as well as the WMWA;
16	xi.	Whether Defendant fails to provide putative California Class members
17		with timely, accurate itemized wage statements in violation of Business
18		and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
19	xii.	Whether Defendant fails to timely pay putative Class members for al
20		wages owed upon termination of employment in violation of the
21		California Labor Code, as well as the WMWA and Alaska. Stat. Ann. §
22		23.05.140;
23	xiii.	Whether Defendant fails to timely pay putative California Class members
24		for all wages owed upon termination of employment in violation o
25		Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
26	xiv.	Whether Defendant is liable for penalties to putative California Class
27		members under the PAGA; and
20		19

- xv. The proper formula for calculating restitution, damages and penalties owed to Plaintiffs and the Classes as alleged herein.
- c. **Typicality**: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Classes. Defendant's common course of conduct in violation of law as alleged herein has caused Plaintiffs and members of the putative Classes to sustain the same or similar injuries and damages. Plaintiffs' claims are therefore representative of and co-extensive with the claims of the Classes.
- d. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiffs are members of the Classes, do not have any conflicts of interest with other putative Class members, and will prosecute the case vigorously on behalf of the Classes. Counsel representing Plaintiffs is competent and experienced in litigating large employment class actions, including wage and hour classes. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of members of the putative Classes.
- e. Superiority of Class Action: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all members of the putative Classes is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the Classes predominates over any questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. Each members of the putative Classes have been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendant's illegal policies and/or practices. Class action treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system. In the alternative, the Classes may be certified because the prosecution of separate actions by the individual members of the Classes would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of the Classes, and, in turn, would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.

(By Plaintiffs against Defendant)

- 75. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. The FLSA requires that covered employees receive compensation for all hours worked and overtime compensation not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 77. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs and the Collective are covered employees entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 207(a).
 - 78. Defendant is a covered employer required to comply with the FLSA's mandates.
- 79. Defendant has violated the FLSA with respect to Plaintiffs and the Collective, by, *inter alia*, failing to compensate Plaintiffs and the Collective for all hours worked and, with respect to such hours, failing to pay the legally mandated overtime premium for such work and/or minimum wage. Defendant has also violated the FLSA by failing to keep required, accurate records of all hours worked by Plaintiffs and the Collective. 29 U.S.C. § 211(c).
- 80. Plaintiffs and the Collective are victims of uniform and company-wide compensation policies. These uniform policies, in violation of the FLSA, have been applied to current and former non-exempt, hourly Safety Attendants and Safety Foremen of Defendant, working throughout the United States.
- 81. Plaintiffs and the Collective are entitled to damages equal to the mandated pay, including minimum wage, straight time, and overtime premium pay within the three years preceding the filing of the complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling, because Defendant has acted willfully and knew or showed reckless disregard for whether the alleged conduct was prohibited by the FLSA.
 - 82. Defendant has acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that

1	its actions ai	nd omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result thereof, Plaintiffs and
2	the Collective are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the	
3	amount of u	npaid overtime pay and/or prejudgment interest at the applicable rate. 29 U.S.C. §
4	216(b).	
5	83.	As a result of the aforesaid violations of the FLSA's provisions, pay, including
6	minimum w	age, straight time, and overtime compensation, has been unlawfully withheld by
7	Defendant fr	om Plaintiffs and the Collective. Accordingly, Defendant is liable for unpaid wages
8	together with	n an amount equal as liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs of this action.
9	84.	Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Collective request relief as hereinafter provided.
10		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
11	Failure	to Pay for All Hours Worked Pursuant to Labor Code § 204 - For Training in California
12	85.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth
13	herein.	
14	86.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class
15	against CertifiedSafety.	
16	87.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class
17	against Certi	fiedSafety.
18	88.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California Training Class
19	against Certi	fiedSafety.
20	89.	Defendant willfully engaged in and continue to engage in a policy and practice of no
21	compensatin	g Plaintiffs and putative Class members for all hours worked or spent under its control
22	90.	Defendant requires Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members to
23	attend pre-as	ssignment training sessions in California. These trainings are completely locally in
24	California pı	rior to the dispatch of Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members to
25	refinery loca	tions throughout the United States for assignments. Defendant requires Plaintiffs and
26	the putative	California Training Class members to complete these trainings in order to accept the
27	applicable jo	b assignments, and the training are required for each job assignment.

- 91. These pre-assignment training sessions can last up to eight hours, but Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members are not paid for any of their time spent in them. Additionally, Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members are not compensated for their expenses incurred traveling to and from the pre-assignment training sessions. As a result, Defendant fails to pay Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members for all hours worked and fail to track their actual hours worked.
 - 92. Labor Code § 1194(a) provides as follows:

Notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.

- 93. Labor Code § 200(a) defines wages as "all amounts for labor performed by employees of every description, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained by the standard of time, task, piece, commission basis, or other method of calculation."
- 94. Labor Code § 1198 makes it unlawful for employers to employees under conditions that violate the Wage Orders.
- 95. IWC Wage Order 16-2001(2)(J) defines hours worked as "the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so."
- 96. Defendant requires Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members to work off-the-clock without compensation. In other words, Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members are forced to perform work for the benefit of Defendant without compensation.
- 97. In violation of California law, Defendant knowingly and willfully refuse to perform their obligations to provide Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members with compensation for all time worked. Defendant regularly fails to track the time they actually worked or to compensate them for hours worked. Therefore, Defendant committed, and continue to

1	commit, the	acts alleged herein knowingly and willfully, and in conscious disregard of the
2	Plaintiffs' ar	nd the putative California Training Class members' rights. Plaintiffs and the putative
3	California Ti	raining Class are thus entitled to recover nominal, actual, and compensatory damages
4	plus interest,	attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.
5	98.	As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiffs and the putative
6	Class have b	een damaged in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
7	99.	Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Class request relief as hereinafter provided.
8 9	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Pay Minimum Wages Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1182.11, 1182.12, 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 - For Training in California	
10	100.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth
11	herein.	
12	101.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class
13	against CertifiedSafety.	
14	102.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class
15	against Certi	fiedSafety.
16	103.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California Training Class
17	against Certi	fiedSafety.
18	104.	During the applicable statutory period, California Labor Code §§1182.11, 1182.12
19	and 1197, an	d the Minimum Wage Order were in full force and effect and require that Defendant's
20	hourly empl	oyees receive the minimum wage for all hours worked irrespective of whether
21	nominally pa	aid on a piece rate, or any other bases, at the rate of ten dollars and fifty cents (\$10.50)
22	per hour con	nmencing January 1, 2017.
23	105.	"Hours worked" is the time during which an employee is subject to the control of ar
24	employer, an	nd includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or no
25	required to do so.	
26	106.	California Labor Code §1194 states:

Notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs of suit.

- 107. Labor Code §1194.2 provides that, in any action under Section 1194 to recover wages because of the payment of a wage less than minimum wage fixed by an order of the commission, an employee shall be entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.
- 108. Defendant has maintained policies and procedures which have created a working environment where hourly employees are routinely compensated at a rate that is less than the statutory minimum wage. Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members are required to attend pre-assignment training but are not provided as compensation for any of the time that they spend in this training.
- 109. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts and/or omissions of Defendant, Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members have been deprived of minimum wages in an amount to be determined at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus liquidated damages, plus interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2 and 1197.1.
 - 110. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Class request relief as hereinafter provided.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Reimburse for Necessary Business Expenditures Pursuant to Labor Code § 2802 - For Training in California

- 111. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 112. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class against CertifiedSafety.
- 113. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class against CertifiedSafety.
 - 114. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California Training Class

1	against Certi	fiedSafety.
2	115.	Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiffs and putative Class members for necessary
3	business expenditures.	
4	116.	Labor Code § 2802 provides, in relevant part:
5		An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary
6		expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the
7		directions of the employer, even though unlawful, unless the employee, at the time of obeying the directions, believed them to be
8		unlawful For the purposes of this section, the term "necessary expenditures or losses" shall include all reasonable costs, including,
9		but not limited to, attorney's fees incurred by the employee enforcing the rights granted by this section.
10	117.	Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class
11	members to	pay out-of-pocket expenses for transportation and food when traveling to pre-
12	assignment training sessions in California. Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiffs for trav	
13	expenses.	
14	118.	Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members
15	for the unreimbursed expenses and civil penalties, with interest thereon. Furthermore, Plaintif	
16	are entitled t	o an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below.
17	119.	Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Class request relief as hereinafter provided.
18	E 1 4 D	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
19	Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements Pursuant to Labor Code § 226 - Fo Training in California	
20	120.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth
21	herein.	
22	121.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class
23	against CertifiedSafety.	
24	122.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class
25	against CertifiedSafety.	
26	123.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California Training Class
27	against CertifiedSafety.	
	1	26

28

124. Defendant does not provide Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members with accurate itemized wage statements as required by California law.

125. Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

Every employer shall, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, except for any employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of Section 515 or any applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. The deductions made from payments of wages shall be recorded in ink or other indelible form, properly dated, showing the month, day, and year, and a copy of the statement or a record of the deductions shall be kept on file by the employer for at least four years at the place of employment or at a central location within the State of California.

126. The IWC Wage Orders also establishes this requirement. (See IWC Wage Order 16-2001(6).)

127. Labor Code § 226(e) provides:

An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

Plaintiffs seek to recover actual damages, costs and attorneys' fees under this section.

128. Defendant does not provide timely, accurate itemized wage statements to Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members in accordance with Labor Code § 226(a) and the IWC Wage Orders. As a result of the unpaid time for pre-assignment training, the wage statements

1	Defendant p	provides its employees, including Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class	
2	members, do	o not accurately reflect the actual hours worked, actual gross wages earned, or actual	
3	net wages earned.		
4	129.	Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class alleged	
5	herein for th	ne amounts described above in addition to the civil penalties set forth below, with	
6	interest there	eon. Furthermore, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set	
7	forth below, pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e).		
8	130.	Wherefore, Plaintiff and the putative Class request relief as hereinafter provided.	
9 10	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Waiting Time Penalties Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201-203 - For Training in California		
11	131.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth	
12	herein.		
13	132.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class	
14	against CertifiedSafety.		
15	133.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class	
16	against CertifiedSafety.		
17	134.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California Training Class	
18	against Certi	ifiedSafety.	
19	135.	Defendant does not provide Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class	
20	members wi	th their wages when due under California law after their employment with Defendant	
21	ends.		
22	136.	Labor Code § 201 provides:	
23		If an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.	
24	137.	Labor Code § 202 provides:	
252627		If an employee not having a written contract for a definite period quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than 72 hours thereafter, unless the employee has given 72	
27		28	

138.

employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

Labor Code § 203 provides, in relevant part:

hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the

If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days.

- 139. Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members left their employment with Defendant during the statutory period, at which time Defendant owed them unpaid wages. These earned, but unpaid, wages derive from time spent working for the benefit of Defendant, which went unrecorded and/or uncompensated.
- 140. Defendant willfully refuses to pay putative Class members all the wages that are due and owing to them, in the form of uncompensated off-the-clock time, minimum wage, and reimbursement for necessary business expenditures, upon the end of their employment as a result of Defendant's willful failure to provide Plaintiffs and the putative California Training Class members with payment for all hours worked and reimbursement for travel for the required pre-assignment training in California. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiffs and putative Class members have suffered and continue to suffer substantial losses, including lost earnings, and interest.
- 141. Defendant's willful failure to pay Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members the wages due and owing them constitutes a violation of Labor Code §§ 201-202. As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members for all penalties owing pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201-203.
- 142. In addition, Labor Code § 203 provides that an employee's wages will continue as a penalty up to thirty days from the time the wages were due. Therefore, the Plaintiffs and putative California Training Class members are entitled to penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 203, plus interest.
 - 143. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Class request relief as hereinafter provided.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked Pursuant to Labor Code § 204 - For Work at Refineries in California

- 144. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 145. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 146. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 147. Defendant willfully engaged in and continue to engage in a policy and practice of not compensating Plaintiffs and putative Class members for all hours worked or spent in its control while working at refineries in California.
- 148. Defendant regularly schedules Plaintiffs and the putative Class members to work twelve-hour shifts. However, Defendant intentionally and willfully requires Plaintiffs and the putative Class members to complete additional work off-the-clock, in excess of twelve hours per day. For example, Defendant instructs Safety Attendants to clock in only after they have donned personal protection equipment and to clock out before taking off their personal protection equipment. Defendant does not compensate Plaintiffs and Class members for this time. Moreover, Defendant deducts thirty minutes of work for a meal period. However, Plaintiffs and putative Class members routinely work through this meal period and are not compensated for that work. Additionally, Defendant requires Plaintiffs and the putative Class members to attend training sessions, not including pre-assignment training, which often involve lengthy travel to the training site, without compensation for the time spent in trainings or traveling to the trainings. As a result, Defendant fails to pay Plaintiffs and the putative Class members for all hours worked and fail to track their actual hours worked.
 - 149. Labor Code § 1194(a) provides as follows:

Notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this

minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.

- 150. Labor Code § 200(a) defines wages as "all amounts for labor performed by employees of every description, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained by the standard of time, task, piece, commission basis, or other method of calculation."
- 151. Labor Code § 1198 makes it unlawful for employers to employees under conditions that violate the Wage Orders.
- 152. IWC Wage Order 16-2001(2)(J) defines hours worked as "the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so."
- 153. Defendant requires Plaintiffs and the Classes to work off-the-clock without compensation. In other words, Plaintiffs and the Class are forced to perform work for the benefit of Defendant without compensation.
- 154. In violation of California law, Defendant knowingly and willfully refuses to perform their obligations to provide Plaintiffs and the putative Classes with compensation for all time worked. Defendant regularly fails to track the time they actually worked or to compensate them for hours worked. Therefore, Defendant committed, and continue to commit, the acts alleged herein knowingly and willfully, and in conscious disregard of the Plaintiffs' and the putative Class members' rights. Plaintiffs and the putative Classes are thus entitled to recover nominal, actual, and compensatory damages, plus interest, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.
- 155. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes have been damaged in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
 - 156. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1182.11, 1182.12, 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 - For Work at Refineries in California

157. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

spent donning and doffing safety equipment.

Harold Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-2229-EMC Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC

- 165. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts and/or omissions of Defendant, Plaintiffs and putative Class members have been deprived of minimum wages in an amount to be determined at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus liquidated damages, plus interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2 and 1197.1.
 - 166. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages Pursuant to Labor Code § 510 - For Work at Refineries in California

- 167. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 168. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 169. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 170. Defendant does not compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with the appropriate overtime rate, including time and a half and double time, as required by California law, for their work at refineries in California. For example, Defendant does not consider bonuses when determining what the overtime and double time rates should be for Plaintiff and putative Class members.
 - 171. Labor Code § 510 provides as follows:

Eight hours of labor constitutes a day's work. Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek and the first eight hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee. Any work in excess of 12 hours in one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay for an employee. In addition, any work in excess of eight hours on any seventh day of a workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay of an employee. Nothing in this section requires an employer to combine more than one rate of overtime compensation in order to calculate the amount to be paid to an employee for any hour of overtime work.

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

172. The IWC Wage Order 16-2001(3)(A)(1) states:

> The following overtime provisions are applicable to employees 18 years of age or over and to employees 16 or 17 years of age who are not required by law to attend school and are not otherwise prohibited by law from engaging in the subject work. Such employees shall not be employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or more than 40 hours in any workweek unless the employee receives one and onehalf (1 ½) times such employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 hours in the workweek. Eight (8) hours of labor constitutes a day's work. Employment beyond eight (8) hours in any workday or more than six (6) days in any workweek is permissible provided the employee is compensated for such overtime at not less than: . . . One and one-half (1 $\frac{1}{2}$) times the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours up to and including 12 hours in any workday, and for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh (7th) consecutive day of work in a workweek; and ... [d]ouble the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 12 hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh (7th) consecutive day of work in a workweek.

173. Labor Code § 1194(a) provides as follows:

> Notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon. reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.

- 174. Labor Code § 200 defines wages as "all amounts for labor performed by employees of every description, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained by the standard of time, task, piece, commission basis or other method of calculation." All such wages are subject to California's overtime requirements, including those set forth above.
- 175. Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to work in excess of eight hours per day and forty hours per week, but do not compensate them at an overtime rate for this work. Furthermore, Defendant regularly does not compensate Plaintiffs and the putative Class members at a double time rate for hours worked in excess of twelve hours each day or after eight hours on the seventh consecutive day of work.
- 176. Plaintiffs and putative Class members work overtime hours for Defendant without being paid overtime premiums in violation of the Labor Code, applicable IWC Wage Orders, and

other applicable law.

- 177. Defendant knowingly and willfully refuses to perform its obligation to compensate Plaintiffs and the putative Class members for all premium wages for overtime work. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Defendant has damaged Plaintiffs and the putative Class members in amounts to be determined according to proof at time of trial.
- 178. Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and the Classes alleged herein for the unpaid overtime and civil penalties, with interest thereon. Furthermore, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below.
 - 179. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Authorize and Permit and/or Make Available Meal and Rest Periods Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 - For Work at Refineries in California

- 180. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 181. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 182. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 183. Defendant routinely does not make meal periods available to Plaintiffs and putative Class members working at refineries in California. Despite long work days regularly lasting in excess of twelve hours, Plaintiffs and putative Class members are often unable to take a meal break, are often prevented from timely taking a meal break, and are frequently interrupted during their meal breaks. When Plaintiffs and putative Class members work more than ten hours in a day, Defendant often does not make a second meal period available to them.
- 184. Plaintiffs and putative Class members are not paid one hour of premium pay for the missed breaks. Rather, Defendant deducts thirty minutes of pay on a daily basis for meal periods, even though Plaintiffs and putative Class members are routinely denied compliant meal periods.
 - 185. Similar to meal periods, Defendant regularly fails to make rest periods available to

Plaintiffs and putative Class members. Plaintiffs' and putative Class members' schedules regularly prevent them from taking rest periods throughout the day. When available, if ever, they are often not compliant. Instead, they are generally untimely or short. Plaintiffs and putative Class members do not receive premium pay for their missed breaks as required by California law.

186. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and the applicable Wage Orders require Defendant to authorize and permit meal and rest periods to their employees. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and the Wage Orders prohibit employers from employing an employee for more than five hours without a meal period of not less than thirty minutes, and from employing an employee more than ten hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than thirty minutes. Labor Code § 226.7 and the applicable Wage Orders also require employers to authorize and permit employees to take ten minutes of net rest time per four hours or major fraction thereof of work, and to pay employees their full wages during those rest periods. Unless the employee is relieved of all duty during the thirty-minute meal period and ten-minute rest period, the employee is considered "on duty" and the meal or rest period is counted as time worked under the applicable Wage Orders.

187. Under Labor Code § 226.7(b) and the applicable Wage Orders, an employer who fails to authorize, permit, and/or make available a required meal period must, as compensation, pay the employee one hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that the meal period was not authorized and permitted. Similarly, an employer must pay an employee denied a required rest period one hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that the rest period was not authorized and permitted and/or not made available.

188. Despite these requirements, Defendant knowingly and willfully refuses to perform its obligations to authorize and permit and/or make available to Plaintiffs and the Classes the ability to take the off-duty meal and rest periods to which they were entitled. Defendant fails to pay Plaintiffs and the Classes one hour of pay for each off-duty meal and/or rest periods that they are denied. Defendant's conduct described herein violates Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512. Therefore, pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7(b), Plaintiffs and the putative Classes are entitled to compensation for the failure to authorize and permit and/or make available meal and rest periods, plus interest,

Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC

- 197. Furthermore, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-pocket expenses for personal protective equipment, including but not limited to boots, and for the cost of washing this equipment. Defendant does not reimburse Plaintiffs and the putative Class members for these expenditures.
- 198. Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and the putative Class members for the unreimbursed expenses and civil penalties, with interest thereon. Furthermore, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below.
 - 199. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements Pursuant to Labor Code § 226 - For Work at Refineries in California

- 200. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 201. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 202. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of the California CertifiedSafety Class.
- 203. Defendant does not provide Plaintiffs and putative Class members with accurate itemized wage statements as required by California law for their work at refineries in California.
 - 204. Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

Every employer shall, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, except for any employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of Section 515 or any applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and his or her social security number, (8) the

name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. The deductions made from payments of wages shall be recorded in ink or other indelible form, properly dated, showing the month, day, and year, and a copy of the statement or a record of the deductions shall be kept on file by the employer for at least four years at the place of employment or at a central location within the State of California.

- 205. The IWC Wage Orders also establishes this requirement. (See IWC Wage Order 16-2001(6).)
 - 206. Labor Code § 226(e) provides:

An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

Plaintiffs seek to recover actual damages, costs and attorneys' fees under this section.

- 207. Defendant does not provide timely, accurate itemized wage statements to Plaintiffs and putative Class members in accordance with Labor Code § 226(a) and the IWC Wage Orders. The wage statements Defendant provides its employees, including Plaintiffs and putative Class members, do not accurately reflect the actual hours worked, actual gross wages earned, or actual net wages earned.
- 208. Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs and the putative Classes alleged herein for the amounts described above in addition to the civil penalties set forth below, with interest thereon. Furthermore, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below, pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e).
 - 209. Wherefore, Plaintiff and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Waiting Time Penalties Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201-203 - For Work at Refineries in California

210. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

1	the employe	r's premises or at a prescribed work place.
2	228.	WMWA 49.46.090(1) provides, in relevant part:
3		Any employer who pays any employee less than the amounts to which
4 5		such employee is entitled under or by virtue of this chapter, shall be liable to such employee affected for the full amount due to such employee under this chapter, less any amount actually paid to such employee by the employer, and for costs and such reasonable
6		attorney's fees as may be allowed by the court.
7	229.	RCW 49.12.150 also provides:
8		If any employee shall receive less than the legal minimum wage, except as hereinbefore provided in RCW 49.12.110, said employee
9		shall be entitled to recover in a civil action the full amount of the legal minimum wage as herein provided for, together with costs and
10		attorney's fees to be fixed by the court, notwithstanding any agreement to work for such lesser wage. In such action, however, the employer shall be credited with any wages which have been paid upon account.
11		shall be credited with any wages which have been paid upon account.
12	230.	RCW 49.48.030 allows the court to grant reasonable attorney's fees "[i]n any action
13	in which any person is successful in recovering judgment for wages or salary owed" to him or he	
14	231.	Because of Defendant's policies and practices with regard to compensating Plaintiffs
15	and putative	Class members, Defendant has failed to pay minimum wages as required by law
16	Plaintiffs an	d putative Class members frequently perform work for which they are compensated
17	below the sta	atutory minimum.
18	232.	Plaintiffs and putative Class members have been deprived of minimum wages in ar
19	amount to be	e proven at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus interest thereon
20	attorneys' fe	es, and costs of suit pursuant to RCW 49.46.090 and 49.48.030.
21	233.	Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.
22	E-9 4- D	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (WANTA 40.46.120) For World A. P. Grande in World Action 4.
23	Failure to P	ay Overtime Wages (WMWA 49.46.130) - For Work at Refineries in Washington
24	234.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth
25	herein.	
26	235.	This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety
27	Class.	
28	FOLI	42

- 236. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 237. As detailed above, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 238. Defendant does not compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with the appropriate overtime rate for work performed in excess of forty hours per week.
- 239. WMWA 49.46.130(1) provides that work performed in excess of forty hours in a given week must be compensated at a rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee.
- 240. Wages are defined in the WMWA 49.46.010(7) as "compensation due to an employee by reason of employment, payable in legal tender of the United States or checks on banks convertible into cash on demand at full face value, subject to such deductions, charges, or allowances as may be permitted by rules of the director."
- 241. All such wages are subject to Washington's overtime requirements, including those set forth above.
 - 242. WMWA 49.46.090(1) provides, in relevant part:

Any employer who pays any employee less than the amounts to which such employee is entitled under or by virtue of this chapter, shall be liable to such employee affected for the full amount due to such employee under this chapter, less any amount actually paid to such employee by the employer, and for costs and such reasonable attorney's fees as may be allowed by the court.

- 243. RCW 49.48.030 allows the court to grant reasonable attorney's fees "[i]n any action in which any person is successful in recovering judgment for wages or salary owed" to him or her.
- 244. Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to work in excess of forty hours per week, but do not compensate them at an overtime rate for all of this work. Furthermore, as detailed above, Defendant routinely requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to work, off the clock, which increases the amount of overtime compensation to which they are due, but do not receive.

- 245. Plaintiffs and putative Class members have worked overtime hours for Defendant without being paid overtime premiums in violation of the WMWA, and other applicable laws of the state of Washington.
- 246. Defendant has knowingly and willfully refused to perform its obligation to compensate Plaintiffs and the putative Class members for all premium wages for overtime work.
- 247. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Defendant has damaged Plaintiffs and the putative Class members in amounts to be determined according to proof at time of trial. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover overtime wages owed, including interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to RCW 49.46.090 and 49.48.030.
 - 248. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Authorize and Permit and/or Make Available Meal and Rest Breaks (RCW 49.12.020)
- For Work at Refineries in Washington

- 249. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 250. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 251. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 252. As detailed above, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
 - 253. RCW 49.12.010 provides:

The welfare of the state of Washington demands that all employees be protected from conditions of labor which have a pernicious effect on their health. The state of Washington, therefore, exercising herein its police and sovereign power declares that inadequate wages and unsanitary conditions of labor exert such pernicious effect.

254. RCW 49.12.020 provides that "[i]t shall be unlawful to employ any person in any industry or occupation within the state of Washington under conditions of labor detrimental to their

1	health."	
2	255.	Pursuant to RCW 49.12.005(5) and WAC 296-126-002(9), conditions of labor
3	"means and	includes the conditions of rest and meal periods" for employees.
4	256.	WAC 296-126-092 provides:
5		(1) Employees shall be allowed a meal period of at least thirty minutes
6		which commences no less than two hours nor more than five hours from the beginning of the shift. Meal periods shall be on the
7		employer's time when the employee is required by the employer to remain on duty on the premises or at a prescribed work site in the interest of the employer.
8		interest of the employer. (2) No employee shall be required to work more than five consecutive hours without a more paried.
9		hours without a meal period. (3) Employees working three or more hours longer than a normal work day shall be allowed at least one thirty-minute meal period prior
10		to or during the overtime period. (4) Employees shall be allowed a rest period of not less than ten
11		minutes, on the employer's time, for each four hours of working time. Rest periods shall be scheduled as near as possible to the midpoint of
12		the work period. No employee shall be required to work more than three hours without a rest period.
13		(5) Where the nature of the work allows employees to take intermittent rest periods equivalent to ten minutes for each 4 hours worked,
14		scheduled rest periods are not required.
15	257.	In the present case, Plaintiffs and putative Class members are routinely required to
16	work through	n rest and meal periods. When Plaintiffs and putative Class members do receive a meal
17	or rest break	, these breaks generally are on duty.
18	258.	By actions alleged above, Defendant has violated WAC 296-126-092. This, in turn,
19	constitutes a	violation of RCW 49.12.010 and RCW 49.12.020.
20	259.	RCW 49.12.170 provides, in relevant part:
21		Any employer employing any person for whom a minimum wage or standards, conditions, and hours of labor have been specified, at less
22		than said minimum wage, or under standards, or conditions of labor or at hours of labor prohibited by the rules and regulations of the
23		director shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five
24		dollars nor more than one thousand dollars.
25	260.	As a result of these unlawful acts, Plaintiffs and the Classes have been deprived of
26	compensatio	n in amounts to be determined at trial, and Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to the
27	recovery of s	such damages, including interest thereon, civil penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs
20	Ī	45

under RCW 49.48.030 and 49.12.170.

261. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unpaid Wages on Termination (RCW 49.48) - For Work at Refineries in Washington

- 262. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 263. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 264. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 265. As detailed above, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 266. Under RCW 49.46.090, employers must pay employees all wages to which they are entitled under the Washington Minimum Wage Act. If the employer fails to do so, RCW 49.46.090 requires that the employer pay the employees the full amount of the statutory minimum wage rate less any amount actually paid to the employee.
- 267. By the actions alleged above, Defendant has violated the provisions of RCW 49.46.090 and the WMWA by failing to pay any wage whatsoever to Plaintiffs and putative Class members when they work off the clock, miss all or part of their breaks, and are deprived of correct overtime compensation.
- 268. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes have been deprived of regular and overtime compensation in an amount to be determined at trial. Pursuant to RCW 49.46.090 and 49.48.030, Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
 - 269. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Willful Refusal to Pay Wages (RCW 49.52.050) - For Work at Refineries in Washington

- 270. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 271. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 272. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 273. As detailed above, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 274. RCW 49.52.050(2) provides that any employer or agent of any employer who "[w]illfully and with intent to deprive the employee of any party of his wages, shall pay any employee a lower wage than the wage such employer is obligated to pay such employee by any statute, ordinance, or contract" shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.
- 275. RCW 49.52.070 provides that any employer who violates the foregoing statute shall be liable in a civil action for twice the amount of wages withheld, together with costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees.
- 276. An employer's nonpayment of wages is willful and made with intent "when it is the result of knowing and intentional action and not the result of a bona fide dispute as to the obligation of payment." Wingert v. Yellow Freight Sys., Inc. 146 Wash.2d 841, 849 (2002), quoting Chelan Cnty. Deputy Sheriffs' Ass'n v. Chelan County, 109 Wash.2d 282, 300 (1987).
- 277. In the present case, Defendant intentionally fails to pay all wages owed to Plaintiffs and putative Class members, including minimum wage and overtime wages, by requiring Plaintiffs and putative Class members to work during meal and rest periods. Defendant knew or should have known that its employment policies violate Washington law, and its failure to pay wages owed to Plaintiff and putative Class members was "willful" under RCW 49.52.050(2).
 - 278. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Washington's Consumer Protection Act (RCW 19.86) - For Work at Refineries in Washington

- 279. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 280. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 281. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the Washington CertifiedSafety Class.
- 282. As detailed above, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 283. Defendant has engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices when they: (i) fail to pay Plaintiffs and Class members wages for off-the-clock work; (ii) prevent Plaintiffs and Class members from taking rest and meal breaks; (iii) fail to pay Plaintiffs and Class members for the periods during which their rest and meal breaks were interrupted; (iv) fail to pay Plaintiffs and Class members for overtime worked; (v) violate RCW 49.46.30; (vi) violate WAC 296-126-023; and (vii) violate WAC 296-126-092 and 296-125-0287.
- 284. Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices repeatedly occur in Defendant's trade or business, and are capable of deceiving a substantial portion of the public.
- 285. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered actual damages, in that Plaintiffs and Class members are wrongfully denied the payment of wages, are forced to work off the clock, and are prevented from taking rest and meal breaks.
- 286. As a result of Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices, Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled, pursuant to RCW 19.86.090, to recover treble damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs.
 - 287. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Pay Minimum Wage (ORC 4111.13) - For Work at Refineries in Ohio

- 288. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 289. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Turner on behalf of the Ohio CertifiedSafety class.
- 290. As detailed above, Defendants fail to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.
 - 291. The Ohio Constitution Art. II, Section 34, provides as follows:

Except as provided in this section, every employer shall pay their employees a wage rate of not less than six dollars and eighty-five cents per hour beginning January 1, 2007. On the thirtieth day of each September, beginning in 2007, this state minimum wage rate shall be increased effective the first day of the following January by the rate of inflation for the twelve month period prior to that September according to the consumer price index or its successor index for all urban wage earners and clerical workers for all items as calculated by the federal government rounded to the nearest five cents. Employees under the age of sixteen and employees of businesses with annual gross receipts of two hundred fifty thousand dollars or less for the preceding calendar year shall be paid a wage rate of not less than that established under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act or its successor law. This gross revenue figure shall be increased each year beginning January 1, 2008 by the change in the consumer price index or its successor index in the same manner as the required annual adjustment in the minimum wage rate set forth above rounded to the nearest one thousand dollars.

- 292. During the applicable statutory period, the Ohio Constitution Art. II, Section 34a, and the ORC 4111.13 were in full force and effect, requiring that Defendants' hourly employees receive the minimum wage for all hours worked at the rate of seven dollars and ninety five cents (\$7.95) per hour commencing January 1, 2014; eight dollars ten cents (\$8.10) per hour commencing January 1, 2015; eight dollars fifteen cents (\$8.15) per hour commencing January 1, 2017; eight dollars thirty cents (\$8.30) commencing January 1, 2018; and eight dollars fifty-five cents (\$8.55) commencing January 1, 2019.
 - ORC 4111.01(A) provides as follows: 293.

"Wage" means compensation due to an employee by reason of employment, payable in legal tender of the United States or checks on banks convertible into cash on demand at full face value, subject to the deductions, charges, or allowances permitted by rules of the director of commerce under section 4111.05 of the Revised Code. "Wage" includes an employee's commissions of which the employee's employer

keeps a record, but does not include gratuities, except as provided by rules issued under section 4111.05 of the Revised Code. "Wage" also includes the reasonable cost to the employer of furnishing to an employee board, lodging, or other facilities, if the board, lodging, or other facilities are customarily furnished by the employer to the employer's employees. The cost of board, lodging, or other facilities shall not be included as part of wage to the extent excluded therefrom under the terms of a bona fide collective bargaining agreement applicable to the employee.

- 294. ORC 4111.13(C) provides that "[n]o employers shall pay or agree to pay wages at a rate less than the rate applicable under sections 4111.01 to 4111.17... Each week or portion thereof for which the employer pays any employee less than the rate applicable under those sections constitutes a separate offense as to each employer."
- 295. ORC 4111.13(D) provides that each day of violation of sections 4111.01 to 4111.17 of the ORC constitutes a separate offense.
- 296. ORC 4111.14(J) and the Ohio Constitution Art. II, Section 34a provide that damages "shall be calculated as an additional two times the amount of the back wages" in accordance with Section 34a of Article II of the Ohio Constitution.
- 297. Pursuant to ORC 4111.14(K) and the Ohio Constitution Art. II, Section 34a, Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are entitled to recover unpaid minimum wages for three years prior to the filing of this suit, as well as for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for their minimum wage claims.
- 298. Because of Defendant's policies and practices with regard to compensating Plaintiffs and putative Class members, Defendant has willfully failed to pay minimum wages as required by law. The off-the-clock work—including but not limited to travel time, donning and doffing time, and work during meal periods that have been deducted from the nominal hours worked—contributes to the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative Class members. Moreover, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-pocket for work expenses including personal protective equipment and transportation, lodging, and food when traveling to assigned work sites, and fails to fully reimburse Plaintiffs and putative Class members for these expenses, if at all. When the remuneration received by Plaintiffs and putative Class members is reduced by unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, and then divided by the actual hours

Harold Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-2229-EMC Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC

308. ORC 4111.14(L) provides as follows:

In accordance with Section 34a of Article II, Ohio Constitution, there shall be no exhaustion requirement, no procedural, pleading, or burden of proof requirements beyond those that apply generally to civil suits in order to maintain such action and no liability for costs or attorney's fees on an employee except upon a finding that such action was frivolous in accordance with the same standards that apply generally in civil suits.

- 309. Because of Defendant's policies and practices with regard to compensating Plaintiffs and putative Class members, Defendant has willfully failed to pay overtime wages as required by law. The off-the-clock work—including but not limited to travel time, donning and doffing time, and work during meal periods that have been deducted from the nominal hours worked—contributes to the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative Class members. The actual hours worked exceed the threshold for overtime pay. Moreover, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-pocket for work expenses including personal protective equipment and transportation, lodging, and food when traveling to assigned work sites, and fails to fully reimburse Plaintiff and putative Class members for these expenses, if at all. When the remuneration received by Plaintiff and putative Class members is reduced by unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, and then divided by the actual hours worked, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members at the appropriate overtime rate for all of these hours.
- 310. Plaintiffs and putative Class members have been deprived of overtime wages in an amount to be proven at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus statutory damages, interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to ORC 4111.10(A), 4111.14(J)-(K).
 - 311. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Pay Minimum Wage (Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.065) - For Work at Refineries in Alaska

- 312. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 313. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Azevedo on behalf of the Alaska CertifiedSafety Class.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

314. As detailed above, Defendant has failed to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with at least the minimum wage for all hours worked.

- 315. During the applicable statutory period, the Alaska Wage and Hour Act ("AWHA"), Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.050, et seq., was in full force and effect and required that Plaintiffs and putative Class members receive the Alaska minimum wage for all hours worked. Under Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.065, every employer must pay each employee a minimum wage of \$8.75 per hour beginning January 1, 2015, \$9.75 per hour beginning January 1, 2016, \$9.80 per hour beginning January 1, 2017, \$9.84 beginning January 1, 2018, and \$9.89 beginning January 1, 2019. Alaska's minimum-wage rate is adjusted for inflation beginning January 1, 2017.
- 316. Plaintiffs and putative Class members were directed to work by Defendant and, in fact, did work but were not compensated at least at the Alaska minimum wage rate for all time worked. Pursuant to Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.065(a), Plaintiffs and putative Class members are entitled to be compensated at least at the applicable Alaska-mandated minimum wage rate for all time worked.
- 317. Under Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.105, Alaska has adopted the definition of hours worked under the federal FLSA regulations (29 C.F.R. 785.11-785.25) for purposes of minimum wage and overtime requirements.
- 318 Under 29 C.F.R. 785.11, all time in which an employee is suffered or permitted to work is work time. Time spent in meetings and trainings must be counted as working time unless all of the following are true: (1) attendance is outside of the employee's regular working hours; (2) attendance is in fact voluntary; (3) the course, lecture, or meeting is not directly related to the employee's job; and (4) the employee does not perform any productive work during such attendance. 29 C.F.R.785.27. Under both the FLSA and Alaska regulations, on-call time in which an employee is required to remain on call on the employer's premises or other place of employment or so close to them that the time cannot be used effectively for the employee's own purposes is considered hours worked. 29 C.F.R.785.17; Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.910(9). Under Alaska regulation, standby or waiting time in which an employee is required to be at or

near the place of employment and is required to wait for work or an assignment, whether or not because of shutdown or repair, and during which the time cannot be used effectively for the employee's own purpose, is considered hours worked. Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.910(13).

319. 29 C.F.R.785.19 provides as follows:

Bona fide meal periods are not worktime. Bona fide meal periods do not include coffee breaks or time for snacks. These are rest periods. The employee must be completely relieved from duty for the purposes of eating regular meals. Ordinarily 30 minutes or more is long enough for a bona fide meal period. A shorter period may be long enough under special conditions. The employee is not relieved if he is required to perform any duties, whether active or inactive, while eating. For example, an office employee who is required to eat at his desk or a factory worker who is required to be at his machine is working while eating.

- 320. Under Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.165, an employer may not require an employee to purchase a uniform or equipment if the uniform or equipment is required by federal, state, or local safety or health codes. An employer also may not require an employee to purchase a uniform or equipment if the nature of the employer's business requires the use of either, and if the uniform or equipment is distinctive and advertises or is associated with the products or services of the employer or cannot be worn or used during normal social activities of the employee.
- 321. Pursuant to Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110(a)-(b), Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are entitled to recover unpaid minimum wages under the AWHA in a civil action. Pursuant to Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110(a), Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are additionally entitled to recover an amount equal to the unpaid minimum wages as liquidated damages.
- 322. Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110(c) provides that in any action for minimum wages under the AWHA, the court shall order an employer who is found to have violated Alaska minimum wage requirements to pay costs of the action and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 323. Because of Defendant's policies and practices with regard to compensating Plaintiffs and putative Class members, Defendant has willfully failed to pay minimum wages as

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

required by law. The off-the-clock work—including but not limited to travel time, donning and
doffing time, and work during meal periods that have been deducted from the nominal hours
worked—contributes to the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative Class members.
Moreover, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-
pocket for work expenses including personal protective equipment and transportation, lodging,
and food when traveling to assigned work sites, and fail to fully reimburse Plaintiffs and putative
Class members for these expenses, if at all. When the remuneration received by Plaintiffs and
putative Class members is reduced by unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, and then divided by
the actual hours worked, Plaintiffs and putative Class members are frequently compensated below
the statutory minimum.

- 324. Plaintiffs and putative Class members have been deprived of minimum wages in an amount to be proven at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus statutory and liquidated damages, interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to Alaska Stat.

 Ann. § 23.10.110, and the related Alaska Administrative Code rules.
 - 325. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Pay Overtime (Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.060) - For Work at Refineries in Alaska

- 326. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 327. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Azevedo on behalf of the Alaska CertifiedSafety Class
- 328. Defendant does not compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members with the appropriate overtime rate for work performed in excess of eight (8) hours per day or forty (40) hours per week.
- 329. The AWHA, Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.10.060, requires employers to pay their employees for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day or forty (40) hours per week in a work week at a rate no less than one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay.

330. Under Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.105, Alaska has adopted the definition of hours worked under the federal FLSA regulations (29 C.F.R. 785.11-785.25) for purposes of minimum wage and overtime requirements.

331. Under 29 C.F.R. 785.11, all time in which an employee is suffered or permitted to work is work time. Time spent in meetings and trainings must be counted as working time unless all of the following are true: (1) attendance is outside of the employee's regular working hours; (2) attendance is in fact voluntary; (3) the course, lecture, or meeting is not directly related to the employee's job; and (4) the employee does not perform any productive work during such attendance. 29 C.F.R.785.27. Under both the FLSA and Alaska regulations, on-call time in which an employee is required to remain on call on the employer's premises or other place of employment or so close to them that the time cannot be used effectively for the employee's own purposes is considered hours worked. 29 C.F.R.785.17; Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.910(9). Under Alaska regulation, standby or waiting time in which an employee is required to be at or near the place of employment and is required to wait for work or an assignment, whether or not because of shutdown or repair, and during which the time cannot be used effectively for the employee's own purpose, is considered hours worked. Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.910(13).

332. 29 C.F.R.785.19 provides as follows:

Bona fide meal periods are not worktime. Bona fide meal periods do not include coffee breaks or time for snacks. These are rest periods. The employee must be completely relieved from duty for the purposes of eating regular meals. Ordinarily 30 minutes or more is long enough for a bona fide meal period. A shorter period may be long enough under special conditions. The employee is not relieved if he is required to perform any duties, whether active or inactive, while eating. For example, an office employee who is required to eat at his desk or a factory worker who is required to be at his machine is working while eating.

333. Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.100(c) provides as follows:

When computing an employee's hours for the purpose of determining overtime, the employer shall count all hours the employee worked during that week including periods of "on call" and "standby or waiting time" required for the convenience of the employer which were a necessary part of the employee's performance of the

employment. However, if the employee is completely relieved from all duties for 20 minutes or more during which the employee may use the time effectively for the employee's own purposes, then those periods need not be counted.

- 334. Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.100(a) defines the regular rate of pay for overtime calculations as "an hourly rate figured on a weekly basis. An employee need not actually be hired at an hourly rate. The employee may be paid by piece-rate, salary, commission, or any other basis agreeable to the employer and employee. However, the applicable compensation basis must be converted to an hourly rate when determining the regular rate for computing overtime compensation. Payment on a salary basis does not eliminate overtime pay requirements."
 - 335. Pursuant to Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.100(e)(4):

if there is not a written employment contract or if the daily rate provides compensation for a variable number of hours worked, the overtime must be calculated as follows:

- (A) each week, the employer must calculate the straight time rate of pay by dividing the total amount paid at the daily rate by the total number of hours worked in the week; and
- (B) the employer must pay one-half of the straight time rate established under (1) of this subsection for each overtime hour worked in the week to bring the employee's wages up to one and one-half times the regular rate for hours worked over eight hours in a day and over 40 straight time hours in a week; this calculation must be performed separately each week.
- 336. Under Alaska Admin. Code tit. 8, § 15.165, an employer may not require an employee to purchase a uniform or equipment if the uniform or equipment is required by the federal, state, or local safety or health codes. An employer also may not require an employee to purchase a uniform or equipment if the nature of the employer's business requires the use of either, and if the uniform or equipment is distinctive and advertises or is associated with the products or services of the employer or cannot be worn or used during normal social activities of the employee.
- 337. Pursuant to Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110(a)-(b), Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages under the AWHA in a civil action.

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

Pursuant to Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110(a), Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are additionally entitled to recover an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.

338 Because of Defendant's policies and practices with regard to compensating Plaintiffs and putative Class members, Defendant has willfully failed to pay overtime wages as required by law. The off-the-clock work—including but not limited to travel time, donning and doffing time, and work during meal periods that have been deducted from the nominal hours worked—contributes to the actual hours worked by Plaintiffs and putative Class members. The actual hours worked exceed the threshold for overtime pay. Moreover, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-pocket for work expenses including personal protective equipment and transportation, lodging, and food when traveling to assigned work sites, and fails to fully reimburse Plaintiffs and putative Class members for these expenses, if at all. When the remuneration received by Plaintiffs and putative Class members is reduced by unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, and then divided by the actual hours worked, Defendant fails to compensate Plaintiffs and putative Class members at the appropriate overtime rate for all of these hours.

- 339. Plaintiffs and putative Class members have been deprived of overtime wages in an amount to be proven at trial, and are entitled to a recovery of such amount, plus statutory and liquidated damages, interest thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.10.110, and the related Alaska Administrative Code rules.
 - 340. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unpaid Wages on Termination (Alaska. Stat. Ann. § 23.05.140) - For Work at Refineries in Alaska

- 341. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 342. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Azevedo on behalf of the Alaska CertifiedSafety Class.

343. Alaska law requires prompt payment of all wages to employees for all hours worked at the end of employment.

344. Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.05.140(b) provides as follows:

If the employment is terminated, all wages, salaries or other compensation for labor or services become due immediately and shall be paid within the time required by this subsection at the place where the employee is usually paid or at a location agreed upon by the employer and employee. If the employment is terminated by the employer, regardless of the cause for the termination, payment is due within three working days after the termination. If the employment is terminated by the employee, payment is due at the next regular payday that is at least three days after the employer received notice of the employee's termination of services.

345. Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.05.140(d) provides as follows:

If an employer violates (b) of this section by failing to pay within the time required by that subsection, the employer may be required to pay the employee a penalty in the amount of the employee's regular wage, salary or other compensation from the time of demand to the time of payment, or for 90 working days, whichever is the lesser amount.

- 346. Pursuant to Alaska Stat. Ann. §§ 23.05.140, 23.10.110, and/or Alaska Civil Rule 82, Plaintiffs and the putative Class members are entitled to recover unpaid wages, penalties thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs in a civil action.
- 347. By the actions alleged above, Defendant has violated the provisions of Alaska Stat. Ann. § 23.05.140 by failing to pay any wage whatsoever to Plaintiffs and putative Class members when they work off the clock, miss all or part of their breaks, and are deprived of correct overtime compensation. Moreover, Defendant regularly requires Plaintiffs and putative Class members to pay out-of-pocket for work expenses including personal protective equipment and transportation, lodging, and food when traveling to assigned work sites, and fails to fully reimburse Plaintiffs and putative Class members for these expenses, if at all. These amounts remain due upon the separation of employment. Therefore, Defendant committed, and continues to commit, the acts alleged herein knowingly and willfully, and in conscious disregard of the Plaintiffs' and the putative Class members' rights. Plaintiffs and the putative Classes are thus entitled to the unpaid

1	wages and penalties thereon, plus interest, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit, pursuant	
2	Alaska Stat. Ann. §§ 23.05.140, 23.10.110, and/or Alaska Civil Rule 82.	
3	348. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiffs and the putative	
4	Classes have been damaged in an amount according to proof at time of trial.	
5	349. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided	
6	TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
7	Violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.	
8	350. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth	
9	herein.	
10	351. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of the California Training Class	
11	and the California CertifiedSafety Class.	
12	352. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Knight on behalf of the California Training Class.	
13	353. California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq. (the "UCL") prohibits	
14	unfair competition in the form of any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business acts or practices.	
15	354. Business and Professions Code § 17204 allows a person injured by the unfair business	
16	acts or practices to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL.	
17	355. Labor Code § 90.5(a) states it is the public policy of California to vigorously enforce	
18	minimum labor standards in order to ensure employees are not required to work under substandard	
19	and unlawful conditions, and to protect employers who comply with the law from those who attempt	
20	to gain competitive advantage at the expense of their workers by failing to comply with minimum	
21	labor standards.	
22	356. CertifiedSafety has committed acts of unfair competition as defined by the UCL, by	
23	engaging in the unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and practices described in this	
24	Complaint, including, but not limited to:	
25	a. violations of Labor Code § 1194 and IWC Wage Order 16-2001 pertaining to	
26	payment of wages, including minimum wage, for all hours worked;	
27	b. violations of Labor Code § 510 and Wage Order 16-2001 pertaining to overtime;	
20	60	

Harold Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-2229-EMC Tierre Crummie v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-03892-EMC the four-year period prior to the filing of this Complaint. Plaintiffs' success in this action will enforce important rights affecting the public interest and in that regard Plaintiffs sue on behalf of themselves as well as others similarly situated. Plaintiffs and putative Class members seek and are entitled to unpaid wages, declaratory and injunctive relief, and all other equitable remedies owing to them.

- 362. Plaintiffs herein take upon themselves enforcement of these laws and lawful claims. There is a financial burden involved in pursuing this action, the action is seeking to vindicate a public right, and it would be against the interests of justice to penalize Plaintiffs by forcing them to pay attorneys' fees from the recovery in this action. Attorneys' fees are appropriate pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 and otherwise.
 - 363. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the putative Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Penalties Pursuant to § 2699(a) of the Private Attorneys General Act

- 364. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 365. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of himself and all other current and former hourly employees who worked as Safety Attendants in California against CertifiedSafety.
- 366. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of himself and all other current and former hourly employees who worked as Safety Attendants in California against CertifiedSafety.
 - 367. Labor Code § 2699(a) provides:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees.

368. Labor Code § 203 provides, in relevant part:

28

If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefore is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days.

369. Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

Every employer shall, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, except for any employee whose compensation is solely based on a salary and who is exempt from payment of overtime under subdivision (a) of Section 515 or any applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. The deductions made from payments of wages shall be recorded in ink or other indelible form, properly dated, showing the month, day, and year, and a copy of the statement or a record of the deductions shall be kept on file by the employer for at least four years at the place of employment or at a central location within the State of California.

370. Labor Code § 551 provides:

Every person employed in any occupation of labor is entitled to one day's rest therefrom in seven.

371. Labor Code § 552 provides:

No employer of labor shall cause his employees to work more than six days in seven.

372. Labor Code § 558(a) provides:

- (a) Any employer or other person acting on behalf of an employer who violates, or causes to be violated, a section of this chapter or any provision regulating hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission shall be subject to a civil penalty as follows:
 - (1) For any initial violation, fifty dollars (\$50) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee was

underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages.

- (2) For each subsequent violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages.
- (3) Wages recovered pursuant to this section shall be paid to the affected employee.
- 373. Plaintiffs seek civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a) for each failure by Defendant, as alleged above, to timely pay all wages owed to Plaintiffs and each putative Class member in compliance with Labor Code §§ 201-202 in the amounts established by Labor Code § 203. Plaintiffs seek such penalties as an alternative to the penalties available under Labor Code § 203, as prayed for herein.
- 374. Plaintiffs also seek civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a) for each failure by Defendant, alleged above, to provide Plaintiffs and each Class member an accurate, itemized wage statement in compliance with Labor Code § 226(a) in the amounts established by Labor Code § 226(e). Plaintiffs seek such penalties as an alternative to the penalties available under Labor Code § 226(e), as prayed for herein.
- 375. Plaintiffs also seeks civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a) for each failure by Defendant, alleged above, to provide Plaintiff and each Class member compliant meal and rest periods in compliance with Labor Code § 512.
- 376. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a) for each violation of Labor Code § 510, alleged above, as well as any provision regulating hours and days of work in any order of the IWC.
- 377. Plaintiffs also seek civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a) for each failure by Defendant, alleged above, to provide Plaintiffs and each Class member one day of rest therefrom seven days of work in compliance with Labor Code §§ 551 and 552.
- 378. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(1) and (2), Plaintiffs provided the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") with notice of their intention to file this claim. Sixty-

five calendar days have passed without notice from the LWDA. Plaintiffs satisfied the administrative prerequisites to commence this civil action in compliance with § 2699.3(a).

- 379. Plaintiffs seek the aforementioned penalties on behalf of the State, other aggrieved employees, and themselves as set forth in Labor Code § 2699(g)(i).
- 380. Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs, the putative Class members, and the State of California for the civil penalties set forth in this Complaint, with interest thereon. Plaintiffs are also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below.
 - 381. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.

TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Penalties Pursuant to § 2699(f) of the Private Attorneys General Act

- 382. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 383. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Jones on behalf of himself and all other current and former hourly employees who worked as Safety Attendants in California against CertifiedSafety.
- 384. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Crummie on behalf of himself and all other current and former hourly employees who worked as Safety Attendants in California against CertifiedSafety.
 - 385. Labor Code § 2699(f) provides:

For all provisions of this code except those for which a civil penalty is specifically provided, there is established a civil penalty for a violation of these provisions, as follows: . . . (2) If, at the time of the alleged violation, the person employs one or more employees, the civil penalty is one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation.

386. To the extent than any violation alleged herein does not carry penalties under Labor Code § 2699(a), Plaintiffs seek civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(f) for Plaintiffs and

1	Class members each pay period in which he or she was aggrieved, in the amounts established by	
2	Labor Code § 2699(f).	
3	387. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(1) and (2), Plaintiffs have provided the LWDA	
4	with notice of their intention to file this claim. Sixty-five calendar days have passed without notice	
5	from the LWDA. Plaintiffs satisfied the administrative prerequisites to commence this civil action	
6	in compliance with § 2699.3(a).	
7	388. Plaintiffs seek the aforementioned penalties on behalf of the State, other aggrieved	
8	employees, and themselves as set forth in Labor Code § 2699(g)(i).	
9	389. Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs, the putative Class members, and the State of	
10	California for the civil penalties set forth in this Complaint, with interest thereon. Plaintiffs are also	
11	entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below.	
12	390. Wherefore, Plaintiffs and the Classes request relief as hereinafter provided.	
13	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
14	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:	
15	a) Damages and restitution according to proof at trial for all unpaid wages and other injuries	
16	as provided by the FLSA, California Labor Code, WMWA, the Ohio Constitution	
17	AWHA, and other laws of the States of California, Washington, Ohio, and Alaska;	
18	b) For a declaratory judgment that CertifiedSafety has violated the FLSA, California Labor	
19	Code, WMWA, the Ohio Constitution, AWHA, the laws of the States of California	
20	Washington, Ohio, and Alaska, and public policy as alleged herein;	
21	c) For a declaratory judgment that CertifiedSafety has violated the UCL, California	
22	Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq., as a result of the aforementioned	
23	violations of the California Labor Code and of California public policy protecting wages	
24	d) For preliminary, permanent, and mandatory injunctive relief prohibiting CertifiedSafety	
25	its officers, agents, and all those acting in concert with them from committing in the	
26	future those violations of law herein alleged;	
27	e) For an equitable accounting to identify, locate, and restore to all current and former	
28	66 FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT	

1		employees the wages they are due, with interest thereon;
2	f)	For an order awarding Plaintiffs and the Classes and Collective members compensatory
3		damages, including lost wages, earnings, liquidated damages, and other employee
4		benefits, restitution, recovery of all money, actual damages, and all other sums of money
5		owed to Plaintiffs and members of the Classes, together with interest on these amounts
6		according to proof;
7	g)	For an order awarding Plaintiffs, Classes, and members of the Collective civil penalties
8		pursuant to the FLSA, California Labor Code, WMWA, and the laws of the States of
9		California, Washington, Ohio, and Alaska, with interest thereon;
10	h)	For an award of reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by the FLSA, California Labor
11		Code, California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, WMWA, the Ohio Constitution
12		AWHA, the laws of the States of California, Washington, Ohio, and Alaska, and/or other
13		applicable law;
14	i)	For all costs of suit;
15	j)	For interest on any damages and/or penalties awarded, as provided by applicable law;
16		and
17	k)	For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.
18		
19	Dated: N	ovember 22, 2019 Respectfully submitted,
20		/s/ Carolyn H. Cottrell
21		Carolyn H. Cottrell David C. Leimbach
22		Michelle S. Lim Scott L. Gordon
23		SCHNEIDER WALLACE
24		COTTRELL KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP
25		Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and the Putative Classes
26		and Collective
27		
28	_	67

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 1 2 Plaintiffs hereby demands a jury trial on all claims and issues for which Plaintiffs are entitled to a jury. 3 4 Respectfully submitted, Dated: November 22, 2019 5 6 /s/ Carolyn H. Cottrell Carolyn H. Cottrell 7 David C. Leimbach Michelle S. Lim 8 Scott L. Gordon SCHNEIDER WALLACE 9 COTTRELL KONECKY 10 WOTKYNS LLP 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and the Putative Classes and Collective 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 68 28 FOURTH AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT